

Viscous Analysis of Pulsating Hydrodynamic Instability and Thermal Coupling in Liquid-Propellant Combustion

Stephen B. Margolis*

Sandia National Laboratories, Livermore, California 94551-0969

A pulsating form of hydrodynamic instability has recently been shown to arise during liquid-propellant deflagration in those parameter regimes where the pressure-dependent burning rate is characterized by a negative pressure sensitivity. This type of instability can coexist with the classical cellular, or Landau (Landau, L. D., "On the Theory of Slow Combustion," *Acta Physicochimica URSS*, Vol. 19, 1944, pp. 77-85; also *Zhurnal Eksperimental'noi i Teoreticheskoi Fiziki*, Vol. 14, 1944, p. 240), form of hydrodynamic instability, with the occurrence of either dependent on whether the pressure sensitivity is sufficiently large or small in magnitude. For the inviscid problem, it has been shown that, when the burning rate is realistically allowed to depend on temperature as well as pressure, sufficiently large values of the temperature sensitivity relative to the pressure sensitivity causes the pulsating form of hydrodynamic instability to become dominant. In that regime, steady, planar burning becomes intrinsically unstable to pulsating disturbances whose wave numbers are sufficiently small. This analysis is now extended to the fully viscous case, where it is shown that although viscosity is stabilizing for intermediate and larger wave-number perturbations, the intrinsic pulsating instability for small wave numbers remains. Under these conditions, liquid-propellant combustion is predicted to be characterized by large unsteady cells along the liquid/gas interface.

Nomenclature

A	=	burning rate
A_p, A_Θ	=	pressure-, temperature-sensitivity coefficients
b_i	=	coefficients in perturbation solution, where i is equal to 1, 2, ..., 10
e	=	rate-of-strain tensor
Fr	=	Froude number
g	=	inverse Froude number (gravitational acceleration)
k	=	perturbation wave number
\hat{n}_s	=	unit normal
P, Pr	=	Prandtl number
p	=	pressure
q	=	quantity defined following Eq. (26)
r	=	quantity defined following Eq. (26)
t	=	time variable
u	=	perturbation velocity vector
v	=	velocity vector
(x, y, z)	=	moving coordinate system
γ	=	surface-tension coefficient
ϵ	=	small bookkeeping parameter
ζ	=	perturbation pressure
λ	=	gas-to-liquid thermal diffusivity ratio
μ	=	gas-to-liquid viscosity ratio
ρ	=	gas-to-liquid density ratio
Φ_s	=	location of gas/liquid interface
ϕ_s	=	perturbation in location of gas/liquid interface
ω	=	complex perturbation frequency

Subscripts and Superscripts

i	=	inner wave number regime or integer variable
f	=	far outer wave-number regime
l	=	liquid
g	=	gas
o	=	outer wave-number regime
*	=	scaled quantity

Introduction

HYDRODYNAMIC (Landau¹) instability in combustion is typically associated with the onset of wrinkling of a flame surface, which corresponds to the formation of steady cellular structures as the stability threshold is crossed. This type of instability was originally described by Landau¹ and is attributed to thermal expansion across a combustion front. Although gaseous combustion was determined to be intrinsically unstable in Landau's analysis, it was demonstrated that additional effects, such as gravity and surface tension, that enter when the unburned mixture is a liquid result in a specific stability criterion. However, this analysis, along with a later study by Levich² that considered viscous effects in lieu of surface tension, assumed that the combustion front propagated normal to itself with constant speed, whereas it is now recognized that there is a dynamic interaction between the burning rate and local conditions at the front.

For those problems in which pyrolysis, exothermic decomposition and/or combustion occurs in an intrusive region in the vicinity of the liquid/gas interface, the dynamical coupling of the burning rate with the underlying hydrodynamics of the flow can be achieved through an analysis of the thin combustion/interface region. An alternative approach, however, is to simply postulate a phenomenological dependence of the local burning rate on pressure and temperature and to obtain results in terms of suitably defined sensitivity parameters. Both types of methodologies have been applied to the problem of solid-propellant combustion, and each offers certain advantages.^{3,4} In the present series of studies on liquid-propellant combustion,⁵⁻⁷ the latter approach has been adopted, thereby generalizing the Landau¹/Levich² model to allow for a coupling of the burning rate with the local pressure and temperature fields.

Summarizing some of the results obtained from the present model, it has been shown that when only the pressure sensitivity of the burning rate is taken into account, an appropriately generalized stability criterion for cellular¹ instability is obtained. Exploiting the realistic limit of small gas-to-liquid density ratios, it is found that the stable region occurs for negative values of the pressure-sensitivity parameter, with the original Landau model being intrinsically unstable in this limit. In particular, the neutral stability boundary possesses a local minimum when plotted against the disturbance wave number, which suggests that as the pressure-sensitivity parameter decreases in magnitude, the liquid/gas interface/front develops cells corresponding to classical hydrodynamic instability.⁵ This minimum reflects the fact that surface tension and viscosity are stabilizing

Received 5 November 1999; revision received 16 May 2000; accepted for publication 2 June 2000. This material is declared a work of the U.S. Government and is not subject to copyright protection in the United States.

*Principal Member of Technical Staff, Combustion Research Facility, MS 9052; margoli@sandia.gov. Associate Fellow AIAA.

influences for short-wave disturbances, whereas gravity is a stabilizing influence for long-wave perturbations. As a result, the effect of reducing the gravitational acceleration to microgravity levels is to shift the neutral stability minimum to smaller wave numbers. Thus, in the microgravity regime, Landau instability becomes a long-wave instability phenomenon, implying the appearance of large cells along the combustion interface.

Aside from the classical cellular form of hydrodynamic instability, this dynamic generalization of the Landau¹/Levich² model also predicts the appearance of a pulsating form of hydrodynamic instability, corresponding to the onset of temporal oscillations in the location of the liquid/gas interface. This form of hydrodynamic instability occurs for negative values of the pressure-sensitivity parameter that are sufficiently large in magnitude.⁶ Consequently, stable, planar burning is predicted to occur in a range of negative pressure sensitivities that lies below the cellular boundary and above the pulsating boundary just described. A stable range of negative pressure sensitivities is applicable, for example, to certain types of hydroxylammonium nitrate- (HAN-) based liquid propellants for which nonsteady modes of combustion have been observed.^{8,9} (Though less common, ranges of negative overall reaction orders/pressure sensitivities have been reported for sufficiently diluted gaseous hydrocarbon fuels as well.¹⁰) The appearance of both pulsating and cellular forms of hydrodynamic instability is analogous to the two corresponding types of thermal/diffusive instabilities that occur for sufficiently large and sufficiently small Lewis numbers, respectively.¹¹

When the effect of a temperature sensitivity in the burning rate is included in the analysis, substantial modifications to the preceding stability description can occur. Specifically, if the temperature-sensitivity parameter is sufficiently large relative to the parameter corresponding to pressure sensitivity, the pulsating hydrodynamic stability boundary can develop a turning point, that is, become C shaped, in the (disturbance-wave-number, pressure-sensitivity) plane. In that case, the stable region for small wave numbers disappears, and liquid-propellant combustion is predicted to be intrinsically unstable to the nonsteady form of hydrodynamic instability for all sufficiently large disturbance wavelengths. This has been described in detail in the limit of zero viscosity,⁷ and the purpose of the present work is to extend that analysis to the fully viscous model. Viscous effects were shown to have a substantial influence in the absence of thermal sensitivity, where it turned out that the stable region became significantly widened when viscosity was present, and the same result will be demonstrated when thermal effects are present. However, the same intrinsic pulsating instability that occurs for sufficiently large temperature sensitivities and sufficiently small wave numbers in the inviscid case will be shown to be preserved even when viscosity is included. These results lend further support to the notion that a likely form of hydrodynamic instability in liquid-propellant combustion is of a nonsteady, long-wave nature, distinct from the steady, cellular form originally predicted by Landau.¹

The physical nature of the pulsating form of hydrodynamic instability described here, like the pulsating form of thermal/diffusive (or reactive/diffusive) instability, is manifested through an oscillatory imbalance between reaction-front perturbations and those processes that act to dampen such perturbations. In the case of a pulsating reactive/diffusive instability, such as occurs in gaseous combustion,¹¹ smaller mass-to-thermal diffusivity ratios, that is, larger Lewis numbers, allow a relatively greater concentration of reactant in the reaction zone. This in turn triggers, for sufficiently large Zel'dovich numbers, a more intense reaction, which leads to an imbalance between temperature perturbations that accelerate the front and cause the profiles to steepen, and diffusion, which transfers heat to the unburned mixture and thereby reduces the reaction intensity. In the purely hydrodynamic problem, a negative pressure sensitivity plays a somewhat analogous role to that of diffusion because positive pressure perturbations will either locally accelerate or decelerate the front, depending on whether the pressure sensitivity is positive or negative. Thus, positive pressure sensitivities lead to intrinsic instability,⁵ whereas negative pressure sensitivities that are sufficiently large in magnitude lead to an overcorrection in the local burning rate in response to a hydrodynamic pressure disturbance. In the latter case, an oscillatory imbalance between hydrodynamic

perturbations and corresponding variations in the local burning rate is thus established. As indicated by the subsequent results, the inclusion of viscosity and a thermal sensitivity in the reaction rate, where the latter results in a coupling of the thermal and hydrodynamic fields, accentuates this effect through the inclusion of thermal/diffusive processes as already described.

Summary of the Mathematical Model

The mathematical model was described previously,^{5,12} but is briefly summarized here for completeness. Specifically, it is assumed that the combustion front coincides with the liquid/gas interface, where pyrolysis and/or exothermic decomposition occurs. Denoting the nondimensional location of this downward-propagating interface by $x_3 = \Phi(x_1, x_2, t)$, where x_3 is the vertical coordinate and the adopted coordinate system is fixed with respect to the stationary liquid at $x_3 = -\infty$, we transform to the moving coordinate system $x = x_1$, $y = x_2$, and $z = x_3 - \Phi(x_1, x_2, t)$ such that the liquid/gas interface always lies at $z = 0$. Conservation of mass, energy, and momentum within each phase then gives

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0, \quad z \neq 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial z} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \Theta = \begin{cases} 1 \\ \lambda \end{cases} \nabla^2 \Theta, \quad z \leq 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial z} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} = & (0, 0, -Fr^{-1}) - \begin{cases} 1 \\ \rho^{-1} \end{cases} \nabla p \\ & + \begin{cases} Pr_l \\ \lambda Pr_g \end{cases} \nabla^2 \mathbf{v}, \quad z \leq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where Θ is temperature, Pr_l and Pr_g are the liquid- and gas-phase Prandtl numbers, λ and c (to be used) are thermal diffusivity and heat-capacity ratios, and Fr^{-1} is the inverse Froude number (gravitational acceleration). Other nondimensional parameters introduced subsequently include the gas-to-liquid viscosity ratio μ ($\rho \lambda Pr_g = \mu Pr_l$) and the unburned-to-burned temperature ratio σ_u .

Equations (1–3) are subject to a set of boundary and interface conditions given by

$$\mathbf{v} = 0, \quad \Theta = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad z = -\infty$$

$$\Theta = 1 \quad \text{at} \quad z = +\infty, \quad \Theta|_{z=0^-} = \Theta|_{z=0^+} \quad (4)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{n}}_s \times \mathbf{v}_- = \hat{\mathbf{n}}_s \times \mathbf{v}_+ \quad (5)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{n}}_s \cdot (\mathbf{v}_- - \rho \mathbf{v}_+) = (1 - \rho) S(\Phi) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \quad (6)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{n}}_s \cdot \mathbf{v}_- - S(\Phi) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} = A(p|_{z=0^+}, \Theta|_{z=0}) \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} p|_{z=0^-} - p|_{z=0^+} = & \hat{\mathbf{n}}_s \cdot [\rho \mathbf{v}_+ (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_s \cdot \mathbf{v}_+) - \mathbf{v}_- (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_s \cdot \mathbf{v}_-)] \\ & - \rho \lambda Pr_g \mathbf{e}_+ \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_s + Pr_l \mathbf{e}_- \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_s + \hat{\mathbf{n}}_s \cdot (\mathbf{v}_- - \rho \mathbf{v}_+) S(\Phi) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \\ & - \gamma (-\nabla \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_s) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{n}}_s \times \left[\rho \mathbf{v}_+ (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_s \cdot \mathbf{v}_+) - \mathbf{v}_- (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_s \cdot \mathbf{v}_-) + (\mathbf{v}_- - \rho \mathbf{v}_+) S(\Phi) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \right] \\ = \hat{\mathbf{n}}_s \times (\rho \lambda Pr_g \mathbf{e}_+ \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_s - Pr_l \mathbf{e}_- \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_s) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{n}}_s \cdot (c \rho \lambda \nabla \Theta|_{z=0^+} - \nabla \Theta|_{z=0^-}) \\ = \hat{\mathbf{n}}_s \cdot [(c \rho \mathbf{v}_+ - \mathbf{v}_-) \Theta|_{z=0} + \hat{c} (\sigma_u \rho \mathbf{v}_+ - \mathbf{v}_-)] \\ + [(1 - c \rho) \Theta|_{z=0} + \hat{c} (1 - \sigma_u \rho)] S(\Phi) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t}, \quad \hat{c} = \frac{c}{1 - \sigma_u} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $\mathbf{v}_\pm = \mathbf{v}|_{z=0^\pm}$, $\mathbf{e}_\pm = \mathbf{e}|_{z=0^\pm}$, and Eqs. (5–10) correspond to continuity of the transverse velocity components (no-slip), conservation of (normal) mass flux, the mass burning rate (pyrolysis) law,

conservation of flux of the normal and transverse components of momentum, and conservation of heat flux. Here, $S(\Phi) = (1 + \Phi_x^2 + \Phi_y^2)^{-1/2}$, the unit normal $\hat{n}_s = (-\Phi_x, -\Phi_y, 1)S(\Phi)$, and the expressions for the gradient operator, the Laplacian, and the curvature in the moving coordinate system are given by $\nabla = (\partial_x - \Phi_x \partial_z, \partial_y - \Phi_y \partial_z, \partial_z)$, $\nabla^2 = \partial_{xx} + \partial_{yy} + (1 + \Phi_x^2 + \Phi_y^2) \partial_{zz} - 2\Phi_x \partial_{xz} - 2\Phi_y \partial_{yz} - (\Phi_{xx} + \Phi_{yy}) \partial_z$, and $-\nabla \cdot \hat{n}_s = \Phi_{xx}(1 + \Phi_y^2) + \Phi_{yy}(1 + \Phi_x^2) - 2\Phi_x \Phi_y \Phi_{xy}$, respectively. However, the vector \mathbf{v} still denotes the velocity with respect to the (x_1, x_2, x_3) coordinate system. Finally, we note that the nondimensional mass burning rate appearing in Eq. (7) is assumed to be functionally dependent on both the local pressure and temperature at the liquid/gas interface. By definition, $A = 1$ for the case of steady, planar burning, but perturbations in pressure and/or temperature result in corresponding perturbations in the local mass burning rate.

Because the thermal and hydrodynamic fields are coupled only through the temperature dependence of the mass burning rate A appearing in Eq. (7), the strictly hydrodynamic problem for p , \mathbf{v} , and Φ , can be analyzed separately when A is assumed to depend on pressure only.^{5,6} In the present work, we focus on the fully coupled problem to determine how the hydrodynamic stability boundaries are modified when the local burning rate depends on temperature as well as pressure. Our stability results will thus depend on two sensitivity parameters, A_p and A_Θ , defined as $A_p = \partial A / \partial p|_{\Theta=1, p=0}$ and $A_\Theta = \partial A / \partial \Theta|_{\Theta=1, p=0}$, where $\Theta = 1$, and $p = 0$ are the interface values of temperature and pressure of the basic solution in Eq. (11). Though an explicit expression for the reaction rate A is not needed in the present analysis, we note that, because the nondimensional activation energy is typically large, the temperature sensitivity A_Θ would likely be larger in magnitude than the pressure sensitivity A_p , which will have some bearing on the relative scalings of these parameters that will emerge in the following analysis.

Basic Solution and Its Linear Stability

The nontrivial basic solution of the preceding problem that corresponds to the special case of a steady, planar deflagration is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^0 &= -t, & \mathbf{v}^0 &= (0, 0, v^0) \\ v^0 &= \begin{cases} 0, & z < 0, \\ \rho^{-1} - 1, & z > 0, \end{cases} & \Theta^0(z) &= \begin{cases} e^z, & z < 0 \\ 1, & z > 0 \end{cases} \\ p^0(z) &= \begin{cases} -Fr^{-1}z + \rho^{-1} - 1, & z < 0 \\ -\rho Fr^{-1}z, & z > 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The problem governing its linear stability may be formulated, before introducing any further approximations, in a standard fashion by introducing the perturbation quantities $\phi(x, y, z, t) = \Phi(x, y, z, t) - \Phi^0(t)$, $\mathbf{u}(x, y, z, t) = \mathbf{v}(x, y, z, t) - \mathbf{v}^0(z)$, $\zeta(x, y, z, t) = p(x, y, z, t) - p^0(z)$, and $\theta(x, y, z, t) = \Theta - \Theta^0(z) - \phi_s d\Theta^0/dz$. The problem obtained when Eqs. (1–10) are linearized about the basic solution (11) is then given in terms of these perturbation variables by

$$\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial z} = 0, \quad z \neq 0 \quad (12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \frac{1}{\rho} \right\} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial z} &= - \left(\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial x} + \left\{ \frac{1}{\rho} \right\} Fr^{-1} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right), \\ \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial y} + \left\{ \frac{1}{\rho} \right\} Fr^{-1} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} &+ \left\{ \frac{Pr_l}{\mu Pr_l} \right\} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{u}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{u}}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{u}}{\partial z^2} \right) \\ &= 0 \quad z \leq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{\rho} \right\} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} = \begin{Bmatrix} -u_3 e^z \\ 0 \end{Bmatrix} + \left\{ \frac{1}{\rho \lambda} \right\} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial z^2} \right) \quad z \leq 0 \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &= 0, & \theta &= 0 & \text{at} & z = -\infty \\ \theta &= 0 & \text{at} & z = +\infty, & \theta|_{z=0^+} - \theta|_{z=0^-} &= \phi_s \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} u_1|_{z=0^-} - u_1|_{z=0^+} &= (\rho^{-1} - 1)\phi_x \\ u_2|_{z=0^-} - u_2|_{z=0^+} &= (\rho^{-1} - 1)\phi_y \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} u_3|_{z=0^-} - \rho u_3|_{z=0^+} &= (1 - \rho)\phi_t \\ u_3|_{z=0^-} - \phi_t &= A_p \zeta|_{z=0^+} + A_\Theta \theta|_{z=0^+} \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta|_{z=0^-} - \zeta|_{z=0^+} &= 2(u_3|_{z=0^+} - u_3|_{z=0^-}) \\ &+ 2Pr_l \left(\frac{\partial u_3}{\partial z} \Big|_{z=0^-} - \mu \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial z} \Big|_{z=0^+} \right) - 2(1 - \rho)\phi_t \\ &- \gamma(\phi_{xx} + \phi_{yy}) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu Pr_l \left(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial z} \Big|_{z=0^+} + \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x} \Big|_{z=0^+} \right) - Pr_l \left(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial z} \Big|_{z=0^-} + \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x} \Big|_{z=0^-} \right) \\ = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu Pr_l \left(\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial z} \Big|_{z=0^+} + \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial y} \Big|_{z=0^+} \right) - Pr_l \left(\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial z} \Big|_{z=0^-} + \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial y} \Big|_{z=0^-} \right) \\ = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} c\rho\lambda \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} \Big|_{z=0^+} - \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} \Big|_{z=0^-} - c\theta|_{z=0^+} + \theta|_{z=0^-} &= -u_3|_{z=0^-} + \phi_t \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where Eqs. (16) and (17) have been used to simplify Eqs. (18–21).

Nontrivial harmonic solutions for ϕ , \mathbf{u} , and ζ , proportional to $\exp(i\omega t + ik_1 x + ik_2 y)$, that satisfy Eqs. (12–14) and the boundary/boundedness conditions at $z = \pm\infty$ are given by $\phi = \exp(i\omega t + ik_1 x + ik_2 y)$ and

$$\zeta = \exp(i\omega t + ik_1 x + ik_2 y) \begin{cases} b_1 e^{kz} - Fr^{-1}, & z < 0 \\ b_2 e^{-kz} - \rho Fr^{-1}, & z > 0 \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= \exp(i\omega t + ik_1 x + ik_2 y) \\ &\times \begin{cases} b_3 e^{qz} - ik_1(i\omega + k)^{-1} b_1 e^{kz}, & z < 0 \\ b_4 e^{rz} - ik_1(i\omega\rho - k)^{-1} b_2 e^{-kz}, & z > 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} u_2 &= \exp(i\omega t + ik_1 x + ik_2 y) \\ &\times \begin{cases} b_5 e^{qz} - ik_2(i\omega + k)^{-1} b_1 e^{kz}, & z < 0 \\ b_6 e^{rz} - ik_2(i\omega\rho - k)^{-1} b_2 e^{-kz}, & z > 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} u_3 &= \exp(i\omega t + ik_1 x + ik_2 y) \\ &\times \begin{cases} b_7 e^{qz} - k(i\omega + k)^{-1} b_1 e^{kz}, & z < 0 \\ b_8 e^{rz} + k(i\omega\rho - k)^{-1} b_2 e^{-kz}, & z > 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= \exp(i\omega t + ik_1 x + ik_2 y) \\ &\times \begin{cases} b_9 e^{pz} - [i\omega + k^2 - q(q+1)]^{-1} b_7 e^{(q+1)z} \\ + k[(i\omega)^2 - k^2]^{-1} b_1 e^{(k+1)z}, & z < 0 \\ b_{10} e^{sz}, & z > 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where $k = (k_1^2 + k_2^2)^{1/2}$ is the overall disturbance wave number and the quantities p , q , r , and s are defined as $2p = 1 + [1 + 4(i\omega + k^2)]^{1/2}$, $2Pr_l q = 1 + [1 + 4Pr_l(i\omega + Pr_l k^2)]^{1/2}$, $2\mu Pr_l r = 1 - [1 + 4\mu Pr_l(i\omega\rho + \mu Pr_l k^2)]^{1/2}$, and $2\rho\lambda s = 1 - [1 + 4\rho^2\lambda(i\omega + \lambda k^2)]^{1/2}$. Substituting this solution into the interface conditions (16–21) and using Eq. (12) for $z \leq 0$ yield 11 conditions for the 10 coefficients b_1 – b_{10} and the complex frequency (dispersion relation) $i\omega(k)$. In particular, these conditions are given by

$$ik_1 b_3 + ik_2 b_5 + qb_7 = ik_1 b_4 + ik_2 b_6 + rb_8 = 0 \quad (27)$$

$$b_3 - \frac{ik_1}{i\omega + k} b_1 - b_4 + \frac{ik_1}{i\omega\rho - k} b_2 = (\rho^{-1} - 1)ik_1$$

$$b_5 - \frac{ik_2}{i\omega + k} b_1 - b_6 + \frac{ik_2}{i\omega\rho - k} b_2 = (\rho^{-1} - 1)ik_2 \quad (28)$$

$$b_7 - \frac{k}{i\omega + k} b_1 - \rho b_8 - \frac{\rho k}{i\omega\rho - k} b_2 = (1 - \rho)i\omega$$

$$b_7 - \frac{k}{i\omega + k} b_1 - A_p b_2 - A_\Theta b_{10} = i\omega - \rho Fr^{-1} A_p \quad (29)$$

$$\left[1 + \frac{2k^2 Pr_l}{i\omega + k}\right] b_1 - \left[1 + \frac{2k(k\mu Pr_l + 1 - \rho)}{i\omega\rho - k}\right] b_2 - 2Pr_l q b_7$$

$$- 2(1 - \rho - \mu Pr_l r) b_8 = (1 - \rho)(Fr^{-1} - 2i\omega) + \gamma k^2 \quad (30)$$

$$\mu Pr_l r b_4 + \frac{2ik_1 k \mu Pr_l}{i\omega\rho - k} b_2 + ik_1 \mu Pr_l b_8 - Pr_l q b_3 + \frac{2ik_1 k Pr_l}{i\omega + k} b_1$$

$$- ik_1 Pr_l b_7 = 0 \quad (31)$$

$$\mu Pr_l r b_6 + \frac{2ik_2 k \mu Pr_l}{i\omega\rho - k} b_2 + ik_2 \mu Pr_l b_8 - Pr_l q b_5 + \frac{2ik_2 k Pr_l}{i\omega + k} b_1$$

$$- ik_2 Pr_l b_7 = 0 \quad (32)$$

$$b_{10} - b_9 + [i\omega + k^2 - q(q + 1)]^{-1} b_7 - k[(i\omega)^2 - k^2]^{-1} b_1 = 1 \quad (33)$$

$$(1 - c + c\rho\lambda s) b_{10} - p b_9 + \left[\frac{q + 1}{i\omega + k^2 - q(q + 1)} + 1\right] b_7$$

$$- \frac{k}{i\omega + k} \left[\frac{k + 1}{i\omega - k} + 1\right] b_1 = 1 + i\omega \quad (34)$$

Although the preceding problem is linear in the coefficients b_1 – b_{10} , which can thus be eliminated to give a single equation for $i\omega$, the resulting dispersion relation is quite long and highly nonlinear. Explicit results may be obtained for certain special cases, including the original problems considered by Landau¹ ($A_p = A_\Theta = Pr_l = \mu = 0$) and Levich² ($A_p = A_\Theta = \mu = \gamma = 0$), as well as a particular case ($A_\Theta = \mu = Pr_l = 0$) of the generalized model described earlier.^{5,13} To obtain more general results, it is possible to exploit the smallness of certain parameters and to seek asymptotic solutions for the neutral stability boundaries. In particular, realistic limits to exploit include the smallness of the gas-to-liquid density and viscosity ratios ρ and μ , and, in the microgravity regime, Fr^{-1} . Pursuing this approach, tractable asymptotic results have so far been obtained for $A_\Theta = 0$ (Refs. 5 and 6) and for the inviscid problem when A_Θ is nonzero (Ref. 7). The present work essentially completes the asymptotic analysis of the dispersion relation embodied in Eqs. (27–34) by extending the last of these studies to the fully viscous case.

Parameter Scalings and Asymptotic Analysis of the Dispersion Relation

Focusing on the realistic regime $\rho \ll 1$ (typical values are on the order of 10^{-3} or 10^{-4}), we formally introduce a bookkeeping parameter $\epsilon \ll 1$ and introduce the reasonable scalings $\rho = \rho^* \epsilon$, $\mu = \mu^* \epsilon$, $Pr_l \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$, and either $Fr^{-1} = g$ or $g^* \epsilon$, where $Fr^{-1} \sim \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ corresponds to the case of greatly reduced gravity. In this parameter regime, the appropriate scaling for A_p to describe the neutral stability region is $A_p = A_p^*$ (Refs. 5 and 6), whereas the appropriate scale that describes the main effects of thermal coupling turns out to be $A_\Theta = A_\Theta^* \epsilon^{1/4}$ (Ref. 7). Based on this scaling, we note that the ratio $A_\Theta/A_p \sim \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-3/4}) \gg 1$, as might be expected based on an overall Arrhenius reaction-rate dependence on temperature.

Based on our earlier analyses, the scalings introduced induce a set of corresponding regimes for the wave number k (and the complex frequency $i\omega$) in the dispersion relation determined by Eqs. (27–34). These first emerged in our analysis of cellular instability using

the generalized model in the limit $A_\Theta = 0$, but they are also relevant when one considers the pulsating form of instability and when A_Θ is allowed to be nonzero. In particular, in the case of cellular instability and zero temperature sensitivity, there are three wave-number scales to be considered. First, there is an $\mathcal{O}(1)$ outer wave-number region, where the stabilizing effects of surface tension, viscosity, and gravity are all relatively weak. Second, there is a far outer scale $k \sim k_f/\epsilon$, where surface tension and/or viscosity are strongly stabilizing and gravitational effects are, to a first approximation, negligible. Finally, we have an inner scale $k \sim k_i/\epsilon$ or k_i/ϵ^2 , where gravity is the dominant stabilizing effect (the first scale is valid for normal gravity, the latter for the reduced gravity regime) and where viscosity and surface-tension effects are absent at leading order. In each of these regimes, the cellular stability boundary, obtained by seeking solutions of the dispersion relation for which $i\omega$ is identically zero, is given, respectively, by

$$A_p^*(k) \sim -\frac{\rho^*}{2}$$

$$A_p^{*(i)}(k_i) \sim \begin{cases} \rho^*(\rho^* g - k_i)/2k_i, & Fr^{-1} \sim \mathcal{O}(1) \\ \rho^*(\rho^* g^* - k_i)/2k_i, & Fr^{-1} \sim \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \end{cases} \quad (35)$$

$$A_p^{*(f)} \sim -\rho^* + \frac{2\rho^* \mu^* P [1 + k_f(\rho^* \gamma + 2\mu^* P + 2\rho^* P)]}{4\mu^* P (1 + \rho^* P k_f) - (1 - R)(\rho^* \gamma + 2\mu^* P)}$$

$$R = (1 + 4\mu^{*2} P^2 k_f^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (36)$$

where $P \equiv Pr_l$. Matching these solutions to one another then leads to the composite stability boundary

$$A_p^{*(c)} \sim -\rho^*$$

$$+ \frac{2\rho^* \mu^* P [1 + \epsilon k(\rho^* \gamma + 2\mu^* P + 2\rho^* P)]}{4\mu^* P (1 + \epsilon k\rho^* P) - (\rho^* \gamma + 2\mu^* P) [1 - (1 + 4\mu^{*2} P^2 \epsilon^2 k^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}]}$$

$$+ \frac{\rho^{*2}}{2k} \begin{cases} \epsilon g \\ \epsilon^2 g^* \end{cases} \quad (37)$$

as shown in Fig. 1. Clearly, the stable region lies below $A_p^* = -\rho^*/2$. (Negative values of A_p over certain pressure ranges are characteristic of a number of HAN-based liquid propellants^{8,9}) with the location of the minimum in the cellular boundary increasing to less negative values of A_p with increasing values of the stabilizing parameters γ , Pr_l , μ^* , and g (or g^*). In Fig. 1, the upper and lower sets of curves correspond to the normal and reduced-gravity regimes, respectively, where the curves are drawn for the case $\epsilon = 0.04$, $\rho^* = 1.0$, and either $g = 6.0$ or $g^* = 2.0$. The solid curves correspond to the inviscid limit ($P = 0$) with nonzero surface tension ($\gamma = 2.5$). The dash-dot curves correspond to nonzero surface tension ($\gamma = 2.5$) and liquid viscosity ($P = 1.0$), but zero gas-phase viscosity ($\mu^* P = 0$). The dash-dot-dot curves differ from the dash-dot curves by the addition of gas-phase viscosity ($\mu^* P = 1.0$) and are similar to the dash-dot-dot-dot curves, where the latter correspond to larger viscosities ($P = \mu^* P = 2.0$). The dash-dot-dot-dot-dot curves correspond to a viscous case ($P = \mu^* P = 1.0$), but with zero surface tension. Comparing the two sets of curves corresponding to the normal and reduced gravity cases, it is clear that the critical wave number for instability becomes small in the latter regime. That is, cellular hydrodynamic instability becomes a long-wave instability in the limit of small gravitational acceleration. Further discussion of this stability boundary, and its relationship to the original Landau¹/Levich² predictions, is given in Ref. 5.

Considering the pulsating stability boundary (in the limit $A_\Theta = 0$), which is obtained by seeking solutions of the dispersion relation for which only the real part of $i\omega$ vanishes, it is found⁶ that the corresponding expressions in the inner and outer wave-number regions are given by

$$A_p^* \sim -\rho^*, \quad A_p^* \sim -\rho^*(1 + 2Pk)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (38)$$

respectively. In this case, it is clear that the outer solution is, in fact, the composite solution, which lies below the cellular boundaries and




Fig. 1 Asymptotic representation of the cellular hydrodynamic neutral stability boundaries.

recedes to negative values of A_p that are larger in magnitude than $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ as k becomes large (Fig. 2). Clearly, this stability boundary is more sensitive to the stabilizing effects of the liquid viscosity parameter P than is the cellular boundary, having a leading-order stabilizing effect for $\mathcal{O}(1)$ wave-number disturbances in this case. In the limit $P \rightarrow 0$, the pulsating boundary collapses to the straight line $A_p^* = -\rho^*$, that is, $A_p^* = -1$ in Figs. 1 and 2, but even in that limit, there is a region of stability corresponding to values of A_p^* greater than $-\rho^*$ and less than the minimum in the cellular boundary, which is greater than $-\rho^*/2$. However, if one now considers the effects of a nonzero temperature sensitivity in the inviscid limit $P = 0$, then, for $A_\Theta \sim \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/4})$, the pulsating boundary possesses a turning point such that the stability region disappears for sufficiently small wave-number perturbations.⁷ This is shown in Fig. 3, which indicates that the pulsating boundary then frames the stable region except along the upper branch that asymptotes to the previous cellular boundary as k becomes large in the outer wave-number region. The evolution from a stability diagram that indicates a stable region delineated by distinct pulsating and cellular hydrodynamic stability boundaries to the pulsating-dominated one shown in Fig. 3 can be shown to occur in the parameter regime $A_\Theta \sim \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2})$, which, based on the estimate $A_\Theta/A_p \sim \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1/2}) \gtrsim 30$ (i.e., of the same order as a typical nondimensional activation energy), appears to be attainable for many types of liquid propellants. We now extend the analysis that produced the fully developed pulsating boundary shown in Fig. 3 to the viscous case in which both P and μ^* are allowed to be nonzero.

Owing to the complexity of the fully viscous problem, we analyze Eqs. (27–34) directly by seeking appropriate expansions for the complex frequency $i\omega$ and the coefficients b_i . This differs from our approach in the inviscid limit where it was feasible to first eliminate the b_i to obtain a single implicit equation for $i\omega$ alone. We first consider the $\mathcal{O}(1)$ wave-number region and, based on our earlier analyses, seek an expansion for the dispersion relation $i\omega(k)$ in this region in the form

$$i\omega \sim \epsilon^{-1/2}(i\omega_0 + \epsilon^{1/2}i\omega_1 + \epsilon^{1/4}i\omega_2 + \dots) \quad (39)$$




Fig. 2 Asymptotic representation of the pulsating hydrodynamic stability boundary for the viscous case ($P > 0$). The cellular boundaries are the same as shown in Fig. 1.

Introducing the already defined parameter scalings, the quantities p , q , r , and s defined following Eq. (26) are expanded as

$$p \sim p_{(-1/4)}\epsilon^{-1/4} + p_0 + p_{(1/4)}\epsilon^{1/4} + \dots$$

$$q \sim q_{(-1/4)}\epsilon^{-1/4} + q_0 + \dots$$

$$r \sim r_{(1/2)}\epsilon^{1/2} + r_{3/4}\epsilon^{3/4} + r_1\epsilon + \dots, \quad s \sim s_{(1/2)}(\epsilon^{1/2}) + \dots \quad (40)$$

where

$$p_{(-1/4)} = (i\omega_0)^{1/2}, \quad 2p_0 = [1 + i\omega_1/(i\omega_0)^{1/2}]$$

$$8p_{(1/4)} = (i\omega_0)^{-1/2}[1 + 4k^2 + 4i\omega_2 - (i\omega_1)^2/(i\omega_0)]$$

$$q_{(-1/4)} = (i\omega_0/P)^{1/2}, \quad 2Pq_0 = [1 + i\omega_1/(i\omega_0/P)^{1/2}]$$

$$r_{(1/2)} = s_{(1/2)} = -i\omega_0\rho^*, \quad r_{(3/4)} = -i\omega_1\rho^*$$

$$r_1 = -i\omega_2\rho^* - (\mu^*Pk)^2$$

Finally, the b_i are conservatively postulated to have the expansions

$$b_i = b_i^{(-1)}\epsilon^{-1} + b_i^{(-3/4)}\epsilon^{-3/4} + b_i^{(-1/2)}\epsilon^{-1/2} + \dots, \quad i = 1, 2, 8$$

$$b_i = b_i^{(-1/2)}\epsilon^{-1/2} + b_i^{(-1/4)}\epsilon^{-1/4} + b_i^{(0)} + \dots, \quad i = 3, 4, 5, 6$$

$$b_i = b_i^{(-1/4)}\epsilon^{-1/4} + b_i^{(0)}\epsilon^0 + b_i^{(1/4)}\epsilon^{1/4} + \dots, \quad i = 7, 9, 10 \quad (41)$$

where the form of the latter expansions is again partly motivated by our earlier analyses of more specialized cases.

Substituting the preceding expansions into Eqs. (27–34) and equating coefficients of like powers of ϵ , we obtain the leading-order conditions




Fig. 3 Composite pulsating/cellular hydrodynamic stability boundary for $A_\Theta \sim \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/4})$ in the limit of zero viscosity.

$$ik_1 b_3^{(-\frac{1}{2})} + ik_2 b_5^{(-\frac{1}{2})} + q_{(-\frac{1}{4})} b_7^{(-\frac{1}{2})} = ik_1 b_4^{(-\frac{1}{2})} + ik_2 b_6^{(-\frac{1}{2})} + r_{(\frac{1}{2})} b_8^{(-1)} = 0 \quad (42)$$

$$b_2^{(-1)} = -k^*/\rho^*, \quad b_1^{(-1)} = -(i\omega_0)^2/k \quad (43)$$

$$b_9^{(-\frac{1}{2})} = b_{10}^{(-\frac{1}{2})} = 0 \quad (44)$$

where the last of these was obtained from the leading-order difference of the first and second of Eqs. (29) using the last of Eqs. (43). From Eqs. (43) and (44) we thus conclude that the leading-order dispersion relation is given by

$$(i\omega_0)^2 = (k/\rho^*)^2 (2A_p^* + \rho^*) \quad (45)$$

which is the same result as that obtained in the inviscid case when $A_\Theta^* = 0$. In particular, Eq. (45) implies that $(i\omega_0)^2 \leq 0$ for $A_p^* \leq -\rho^*/2$, which recovers the leading-order cellular boundary (35) for $\mathcal{O}(1)$ wavenumbers, but gives no definitive information regarding stability for $A_p^* < -\rho^*/2$ because $i\omega_0$ is purely imaginary in that region. That is, the stability of the basic solution in the latter region is determined by the real parts of higher-order coefficients in the expansion (39) for $i\omega$, although $\text{Im}\{i\omega_0\} \neq 0$ implies that disturbances have a pulsating character for values of A_p^* below the cellular stability boundary.

At the next order in the analysis of Eqs. (27–34), we obtain a second set of conditions given by

$$ik_1 b_3^{(-\frac{1}{2})} + ik_2 b_5^{(-\frac{1}{2})} + q_{(-\frac{1}{4})} b_7^{(0)} + q_0 b_7^{(-\frac{1}{2})} = 0 \quad (46)$$

$$ik_1 b_4^{(-\frac{1}{2})} + ik_2 b_6^{(-\frac{1}{2})} + r_{(\frac{1}{2})} b_8^{(-\frac{3}{2})} + r_{(\frac{3}{4})} b_8^{(-1)} = 0 \quad (47)$$

$$i\omega_1 = b_1^{(-\frac{3}{2})} = b_2^{(-\frac{3}{2})} = b_3^{(-\frac{1}{2})} = b_5^{(-\frac{1}{2})} = b_7^{(-\frac{1}{2})} = b_9^{(0)} = b_{10}^{(0)} = b_8^{(-\frac{3}{2})} = 0 \quad (48)$$

where the last of Eqs. (48) was deduced from the next-order difference of Eqs. (29). Finally, from the sum of the first of Eqs. (28) multiplied by ik_1 and the second of Eqs. (28) multiplied by ik_2 , we conclude that $b_2^{(-1/2)} = i\omega_0(1 - A_p^*/\rho^*)$. However, the result $i\omega_1 = 0$

implies the need to continue the analysis at the next order to determine $i\omega_2$. Proceeding in this fashion, we obtain from the earlier results and Eqs. (29–34) at this next higher order a new set of conditions given by

$$b_7^{(0)} - (k/i\omega_0)b_1^{(-\frac{1}{2})} - 2i\omega_2 = k(1 - A_p^*/\rho^*), \quad b_7^{(0)} = 0 \quad (49)$$

$$b_1^{(-\frac{1}{2})} - 2b_8^{(-\frac{1}{2})} = i\omega_0(2kP - 1 + A_p^*/\rho^*) \quad (50)$$

$$b_8^{(-\frac{1}{2})} - (A_\Theta^*/\rho^*)b_{10}^{(\frac{1}{2})} = i\omega_0[1 - (A_p^*/\rho^*)^2] \quad (51)$$

$$-(k/i\omega_0)b_1^{(-\frac{1}{2})} - (i\omega_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}b_{10}^{(\frac{1}{2})} - 2i\omega_2 = 2Pk^2, \quad b_9^{(\frac{1}{2})} = b_{10}^{(\frac{1}{2})} \quad (52)$$

where Eq. (51) was actually obtained from the next higher-order difference of Eqs. (29) and the second of Eqs. (49) was obtained from the sum of Eq. (31) multiplied by ik_1 and Eq. (32) multiplied by ik_2 . Equations (49–52) constitute a closed system for $b_1^{(-1/2)}$, $b_8^{(-1/2)}$, $b_{10}^{(1/4)}$, and $i\omega_2$. Eliminating the first three of these in favor of the last and using the result (45) for $i\omega_0$, we finally obtain the dispersion relation for $i\omega_2$ as

$$i\omega_2 = -2Pk^2 + k(A_p^*/\rho^* - 1) \times \left[A_p^*/\rho^* + 1 + \rho^{*-1/4}k^{-1/4}A_\Theta^*(2A_p^*/\rho^* + 1)^{-3/4} \right] \quad (53)$$

Stability in the region $A_p^* < -\rho^*/2$ below the cellular boundary is determined by the real part of $i\omega_2$. In that region, the principal value of the complex factor in Eq. (53) may be written as $(A_p^*/\rho^* + 1)^{-3/4} = [- (A_p^*/\rho^* + 1)]^{-3/4}e^{-3i\pi/4}$, and thus the neutral stability condition $\text{Re}\{i\omega_2\} = 0$ leads to an implicit equation for the (pulsating) neutral stability boundary $A_p^*(k; A_\Theta^*, P)$. In terms of the new pressure sensitivity parameter \hat{b} defined by $A_p^* = -(\rho^*/2)(1 + \hat{b})$, where \hat{b} represents the negative deviation, in units of $\rho^*/2$, from the cellular boundary $A_p^* = -\rho^*/2$, this boundary is given by

$$\hat{b}^{\frac{3}{2}}(3 + \hat{b})^{-2}[(3 + \hat{b})(1 - \hat{b}) + 8Pk]^2 = \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}/k, \quad \alpha = 4A_\Theta^{*4}/\rho^* \quad (54)$$

In the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$, it is clear that there are two solutions of Eq. (54) given by $\hat{b} = 0$, that is, $A_p^* = -\rho^*/2$, and $\hat{b} \sim -1 + 2(1 + 2Pk)^{1/2}$,

that is, $A_p^*/\rho^* \sim -(1 + 2Pk)^{1/2}$. Thus, the pulsating boundary is clearly multivalued, as in the inviscid case (Fig. 3), with one branch approaching the cellular boundary and the other branch approaching the pulsating boundary for $A_\Theta = 0$ (Fig. 2) in the limit of large k . More generally, Eq. (54) may be rewritten as a cubic equation for the inverse relation $k(\hat{b})$ as

$$64P^2k^3 + 16(3 + \hat{b})(1 - \hat{b})Pk^2 + (3 + \hat{b})^2(1 - \hat{b})^2k - \alpha^{1/2}(3 + \hat{b})^2\hat{b}^{-3/2} = 0 \quad (55)$$

which is clearly seen to collapse to the previous inviscid result⁷ in the limit $P \rightarrow 0$. For arbitrary P , typical plots of $k(\hat{b})$ are shown in Figs. 4a–4d, which, when rotated -90° so that the k axis is horizontal, is readily interpreted in the context of Figs. 1–3, where the lines $A_p^* = -\rho^*/2$ and $A_p^* = -\rho^*$ correspond to $\hat{b} = 0$ and $\hat{b} = 1$, respectively. It is clear that these curves asymptote to the lines $\hat{b} = 0$ and $\hat{b} = -1 + 2(1 + 2Pk)^{1/2}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, where the latter corresponds to the viscous pulsating boundary in the limit $A_\Theta^* \rightarrow 0$. They cross the line $\hat{b} = 1$, which corresponds to the inviscid pulsating boundary in that limit, at $k^3 = \alpha^{1/2}/4P^2$. That the pulsating boundary becomes C shaped (in the rotated frame of reference) for $A_\Theta^* > 0$ implies that steady, planar burning is intrinsically unstable for sufficiently small wave numbers. In addition, because the portion within the C-shaped curve is the stable region, any crossing

of the C-shaped boundary from the stable to the unstable region corresponds to the onset of a pulsating instability. As A_Θ^* increases, the turning point of the C-shaped pulsating boundary shifts to larger values of k . On the other hand, as A_Θ^* becomes small, the turning point shifts to small values of k such that this point eventually leaves the $\mathcal{O}(1)$ wave-number region for which Eq. (54) is valid. Indeed, it turns out that the transition to separated pulsating and cellular branches occurs as A_Θ decreases through $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2})$ values for intermediate $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2})$ wave numbers.⁷ Thus, as A_Θ^* becomes small, the original pulsating and cellular boundaries are recovered in the $\mathcal{O}(1)$ wave number regime, but as A_Θ^* becomes large, the original cellular boundary lies within the unstable region for $\mathcal{O}(1)$ wave numbers, and the basic solution becomes intrinsically unstable to oscillatory disturbances.

Composite Neutral Stability Boundary

A composite asymptotic solution for the neutral stability boundary in the regime $A_\Theta \sim \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/4})$ is thus obtained by matching the cellular and pulsating boundaries in the far outer wave number regime, where the former is given by Eq. (36) and the latter by the second of Eqs. (38), with the appropriate solution branch of Eq. (54) in the $\mathcal{O}(1)$ wave-number region. In particular, reverting back to the parameter A_p^* , we denote the two solution branches of Eq. (54), which correspond to the portions of Fig. 4 that lie to the left and to the right




Fig. 4 Pulsating hydrodynamic stability boundaries for $k \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ and $A_\Theta \sim \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/4})$ in the general viscous case for $\rho^* = 1$ and a) $P = .001$, b) $P = 0.01$, c) $P = 0.1$, and d) $P = 1.0$.




Fig. 5 Composite pulsating/cellular hydrodynamic stability boundary for $A_\Theta \sim \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/4})$ in the general viscous case.

of the turning-point minimum, by $A_p^{*(o,u)}(k)$ and $A_p^{*(o,l)}(k)$, where the superscript o denotes, as before, the outer, or $\mathcal{O}(1)$, wave-number region and the superscripts u and l refer to the upper and lower (rotate Fig. 4 by -90°) portions of the double-valued pulsating boundary $A_p^*(k)$. Along the upper branch, $A_p^{*(o,u)} \rightarrow -\rho^*/2$, that is, $\hat{b} \rightarrow 0$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$, which can be matched with Eq. (36) because $A_p^{*(f)} \rightarrow -\rho^*/2$ as $k_f \rightarrow 0$. Similarly, $A_p^{*(o,l)} \rightarrow -\rho^*(1 + 2Pk)^{1/2}$ [i.e., $\hat{b} \rightarrow -1 + 2(1 + 2Pk)^{1/2}$] as $k \rightarrow \infty$, which clearly matches the viscous pulsating boundary given by the second of Eqs. (38) in the far outer wave-number region. As a result, a leading-order composite stability boundary spanning both the outer and far outer wave-number regions is given by

with the combustion front, realistically possesses both a pressure and temperature sensitivity. In the present work, the fully viscous case was considered, thereby generalizing previous analyses in which either the viscosity of the fluid and/or the temperature sensitivity of the reaction rate was neglected. As in these preceding studies, the smallness of the gas-to-liquid density ratio was used to define a small parameter that allowed an asymptotic treatment of a rather complex dispersion relation. Specifically, it was again shown that in addition to the classical Landau, or cellular, stability boundary, there exists a pulsating hydrodynamic stability boundary as well. For sufficiently small values of the temperature-sensitivity parameter, there is a stable region between these two boundaries corresponding

$$A_p^*(k) \sim \begin{cases} A_p^{*(o,u)}(k) - \frac{\rho^*}{2} + \frac{2\rho^*\mu^*P[1 + \epsilon k(\rho^*\gamma + 2\mu^*P + 2\rho^*P)]}{4\mu^*P(1 + \rho^*P\epsilon k) - (1 - [1 + 4\mu^{*2}P^2\epsilon^2k^2]^{\frac{1}{2}})(\rho^*\gamma + 2\mu^*P)} \\ A_p^{*(o,l)}(k) \end{cases} \quad (56)$$

for $A_p^* \geq A_p^{*c}$, where A_p^{*c} is the turning point calculated from Eq. (54) and the second term in the top expression has been expressed in terms of the outer wave-number variable k .

The composite stability boundary is shown in Fig. 5. Based on the preceding construction, the lower branch of Eq. (56) is a pulsating boundary for all wave numbers, whereas the upper branch transitions from a pulsating boundary for $\mathcal{O}(1)$ wave numbers to a cellular boundary for $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1})$ wave numbers. Indeed, from Eq. (45), the size of the upper region of oscillatory instability, which is bounded below by the upper branch of the pulsating stability boundary and above by the region of nonoscillatory instability beyond the outer cellular boundary $A_p^* \sim -\rho^*/2$ for $A_\Theta = 0$, shrinks to zero as k becomes large on the $\mathcal{O}(1)$ wave-number scale. In this regime, the lack of a stable region for sufficiently small wave numbers thus implies an intrinsic instability to long-wave pulsating perturbations.

Conclusions

The present work further extends our recent formal treatment of hydrodynamic instability in liquid-propellant combustion. The analysis is based on a generalized Landau/Levich model in which the dynamic motion of the liquid/gas interface, assumed to coincide

to a range of negative pressure sensitivities for which steady, planar burning is stable.

As the pressure sensitivity decreases in magnitude, the cellular stability threshold is crossed, leading to classical Landau instability. Surface tension, viscosity (both liquid and gas), and gravity are all stabilizing effects with respect to this type of instability. However, only gravity stabilizes small wave-number disturbances, and thus Landau instability becomes a long-wave instability in the reduced-gravity limit. Alternatively, as the pressure-sensitivity parameter increases in magnitude, the pulsating boundary is crossed, and liquid-propellant combustion becomes unstable to oscillatory perturbations. This type of hydrodynamic instability is more sensitive to the stabilizing effects of (liquid) viscosity than is the cellular boundary, but the stabilizing influence of viscosity does not extend to small wave-number disturbances, and gravity turns out not to have a significant effect on this type of hydrodynamic instability. Consequently, for sufficiently large values of the temperature-sensitivity parameter, the pulsating boundary develops a turning point and becomes C shaped. In this parameter regime, corresponding to ratios of the temperature-to-pressure sensitivities of the order of 200–1000, steady, planar combustion is intrinsically unstable to nonsteady long-wave perturbations. In that case, the pulsating form

of hydrodynamic instability is predicted to dominate, leading to large unsteady cells along the burning liquid/gas interface.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC04-94AL85000 and by the NASA Microgravity Science Research Program under Contract C-32031-E.

References

- ¹Landau, L. D., "On the Theory of Slow Combustion," *Acta Physicochimica URSS*, Vol. 19, 1944, pp. 77–85; also *Zhurnal Eksperimental'noi i Teoreticheskoi Fiziki*, Vol. 14, 1944, p. 240.
- ²Levich, V. G., "On the Stability of the Flame Front When a Liquid is Burning Slowly," *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR*, Vol. 109, No. 5, 1956, pp. 975–978.
- ³De Luca, L., "Theory of Nonsteady Burning and Combustion Stability of Solid Propellants by Flame Models," *Nonsteady Burning and Combustion Stability of Solid Propellants*, edited by L. De Luca, E. W. Price, and M. Summerfield, Vol. 143, Progress in Astronautics and Aeronautics, AIAA, Washington, DC, 1992, pp. 519–600.
- ⁴Novozhilov, B. V., "Theory of Nonsteady Burning and Combustion Stability of Solid Propellants by the Zeldovich–Novozhilov Method," *Nonsteady Burning and Combustion Stability of Solid Propellants*, edited by L. De Luca, E. W. Price, and M. Summerfield, Vol. 143, Progress in Astronautics and Aeronautics, AIAA, Washington, DC, 1992, pp. 601–641.
- ⁵Margolis, S. B., "Hydrodynamic Instability in an Extended Landau/Levich Model of Liquid-Propellant Combustion at Normal and Reduced Gravity," *Combustion and Flame*, Vol. 113, No. 3, 1998, pp. 406–423.
- ⁶Margolis, S. B., "On Pulsating and Cellular Forms of Hydrodynamic Instability in Liquid-Propellant Combustion," *Twenty-Seventh Symposium (International) on Combustion*, Combustion Inst., Pittsburgh, PA, 1998, pp. 2375–2386.
- ⁷Margolis, S. B., "Pulsating Hydrodynamic Instability and Thermal Coupling in an Extended Landau/Levich Model of Liquid-Propellant Combustion—I. Inviscid Analysis," *Combustion Theory Modelling*, Vol. 3, No. 4, 1999, pp. 613–635.
- ⁸Vosen, S. R., "The Burning Rate of Hydroxylammonium Nitrate Based Liquid Propellants," *Twenty-Second Symposium (International) on Combustion*, Combustion Inst., Pittsburgh, PA, 1989, pp. 1817–1825.
- ⁹Chang, Y.-P., Boyer, E., and Kuo, K. K., "Combustion Behavior and Flame Structure of XM46 Liquid Propellant," AIAA Paper 2000-0706, Jan. 2000.
- ¹⁰Egolfopoulos, F. N., and Law, C. K., "Chain Mechanisms in the Overall Reaction Orders in Laminar Flame Propagation," *Combustion and Flame*, Vol. 80, No. 1, 1990, pp. 7–16.
- ¹¹Margolis, S. B., and Matkowsky, B. J., "Nonlinear Stability and Bifurcation in the Transition from Laminar to Turbulent Flame Propagation," *Combustion Science and Technology*, Vol. 34, No. 1, 1983, pp. 45–77.
- ¹²Armstrong, R. C., and Margolis, S. B., "Hydrodynamic and Reactive/Diffusive Instabilities in a Dynamic Model of Liquid Propellant Combustion," *Twenty-Second Symposium (International) on Combustion*, Combustion Inst., Pittsburgh, PA, 1989, pp. 1807–1815.
- ¹³Armstrong, R. C., and Margolis, S. B., "Hydrodynamic and Reactive/Diffusive Instabilities in a Dynamic Model of Liquid Propellant Combustion—II. Inviscid Fluid Motions," *Combustion and Flame*, Vol. 77, No. 2, 1989, pp. 123–138.

S. K. Aggarwal
Associate Editor